

1 Trial Day 1
2 Volume 2 of 2
3 November 12, 1997

4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
NORTHERN DIVISION

6 GLAXO WELLCOME INC., et al.)
7 Plaintiffs) Civil Docket No. AMD-96-455
8 v.) And
9 PHARMADYNE CORPORATION, et al.) Civil Docket No. AMD-96-1853
10 Defendants) (Consolidated)
11)

12 Baltimore, Maryland
13 November 12, 1997
14 2:00 p.m.

15 The above-entitled matter came on for trial before
The Honorable Andre M. Davis

16 A P P E A R A N C E S

17 On behalf of the Plaintiffs:

18 Stephen Judlow, Esquire
John Henry Lewin, Jr., Esquire
Brian P. Murphy, Esquire
Robert Gibbons, Esquire
Regina Ambery, Esquire
Jason Lieb, Esquire

21 On behalf of the Defendants:

22 James Rubin, Esquire
Alan H. Bernstein, Esquire
Robert S. Silver, Esquire
John M. Seeberger, Esquire
Deborah K. Besche, Esquire

25 Reported by: Betty Lou Walls, RPR

WALLS REPORTING, INC.
714 PARK AVENUE, BALTIMORE, MD 21201
410-728-9020 FAX 410-728-9024

202

1

I N D E X

2

WITNESS:

DIRECT VOIR

CROSS

REDIRECT

RECROSS

3

John R. Wood

203

209

4

Joel Bernstein

229

254

5

David R. Long

262

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WALLS REPORTING, INC.
714 PARK AVENUE, BALTIMORE, MD 21201
410-728-9020 FAX 410-728-9024

CONFIDENTIAL UNDER PROTECTIVE ORDER

G027548

1 solution, Ilube eye drops and Zantac capsules.

2 Q Focusing if you will, Doctor, on the time period from
3 1985 through 1986, can you tell us what your responsibilities
4 with Glaxo were?

5 A I was research leader leading a team responsible for a
6 number of projects, one of which would have been Zantac
7 Syrup.

8 Q How many years experience have you had with the
9 formulas of pharmaceuticals?

10 A 17 years I have been with Glaxo, plus two years before
11 that doing drug formulation studies at the University of Bath
12 in the UK.

13 Q Based on that 19 years of experience with formulating
14 pharmaceuticals can you give the Court some idea of the
15 primary considerations a formulation scientist is concerned
16 with in formulating a product?

17 A Yes. First and foremost is the safety, efficacy and
18 quality.

19 Q Can you define those terms for the Court?

20 A The safety is to make sure we do no harm to the
21 patient, the quality to ensure that the product is of a high
22 quality, one that we will be proud of and will be suitable
23 for a patient to use and feel confident in with the right
24 specifications, the controls, the manufacturing controls in
25 place, and efficacy to ensure that the product works, does

plaintiffs' trial exhibit 63.

MR. GIBBONS: That is excerpted, Your Honor, that is not the full document.

Q Dr. Long, can you identify plaintiffs' trial exhibit number 63?

A Yes. This is a Notice for Claimed Investigational Exemption for a New Drug, also known as an IND, for Zantac Syrup.

Q I ask you to direct your attention to production number 71598 thereof. Do you have that, Dr. Long?

A Yes, thank you, yes.

Q Under section 2 point 1, ranitidine syrup, active ingredient, focusing in on active ingredient and other ingredients, to your knowledge, does this document accurately set forth the components of the original Zantac Syrup formulation for the U.S.?

A Yes, it does.

Q And am I correct when I note that the first three -- excuse me, the second, third and fourth components under other ingredients are the parabens to which you referred earlier?

A They are. To explain, the hydroxybenzoate is the term used in the UK. Methyl hydroxybenzoate in the UK is equivalent to methyl hydroxyparabens in the U.S.

Q Those are the parabens?